

Appendix C

Summary of representations received during the Local Green Space consultation 2014

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH12/001 - Land north of Almond Grove; NH12/002 - Land East of Acorn Avenue; NH12/003 - Land north of Appletrees; NH12/004 - Village Green; NH12/005 - Recreation Ground; NH12/006 - Land north of Little Meadow; NH12/007 - Land South of Viking Way; NH12/008 - Allotments, south of Saxon Way; NH12/009 - Land	Bar Hill	64922 64960 64961 64962 64963 64964 64965 64966 64967 64968 Support	Bar Hill Parish Council – same response for each site. Sites of great value on lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including: Ø Increased social activity Ø Increased physical activity Ø Improvements to children's learning Ø Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging Ø Attractive places to live, work, play, visit

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
south of Saxon Way; NH12/0010 - Green area bordering each side of perimeter			
NH/12 - 011 Church Close Nature Reserve,	Barton	64898 Support	Barton Parish Council Parish Council put forward this green area which it owns and is currently a nature reserve because it was felt to be a green asset to the village. However, there is one question which we have thought of at a very late stage. It had been intended originally that the area could eventually be used as an extension to the church burial ground. Would this still be permissible in a ' Local Green Space'?
NH/12-012 - Hines Close	Barton	64889 Support	Individual
NH/12-012 - Hines Close	Barton	64916 Support	CRFS Ltd
NH/12-012 - Hines Close	Barton	64976 Support	Individual
NH/12-013 - Elbourn Way South	Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth	64866 Support	Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth Parish Council
NH/12-014 - Elbourn Way North	Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth	64865 Support	Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth Parish Council
NH/12-015 - Fortune Way	Bassingbourn cum	64864 Support	Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth Parish Council

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
	Kneesworth		
NH/12-016 - The Rouses,	Bassingbourn	64932 Object Amend boundary	<p>Cambridgeshire County Council</p> <p>Of the three tests in NPPF the site fails on the second and third.</p> <p>The site is a featureless agricultural field and the Council has not shown that the site is 'demonstrably special' to the community or of particular local significance.</p> <p>The site is well located within the village and has development potential. A LGS designation would prevent development which is inconsistent with national policy on enabling sustainable development.</p> <p>Part of the site (shown on the attached plan as "Area leased to Bassingbourn PC") is considered to be an extension to the playing fields, benefiting the village and can be designated a LGS.</p> <p>The Inspector is respectfully requested to exclude the designation from the Local Plan with the exception of the area of land shown on the attached plan</p>
NH/12-018 - Recreation Ground	Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth	64867 Support	Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth Parish Council
NH/12-022 - Camping Close	Bourn	64899 Object Amend boundary	<p>Saunderson & Co</p> <p>The Landowner strongly objects to the proposed designation on the basis that the boundary put forward by the Parish Council is inaccurate and if it is not removed it should be amended.</p> <p>In addition the scale of proposed site is unreasonable (contrary to Paragraph 77 of the</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			<p>NPPF)</p> <p>Also the land does not meet the criteria of Local Green Space designation detailed in the NPPG and the land is already protected by nature of it lying within the conservation area and flood plain.</p> <p>There has been no sound consultation process regarding this proposal which renders it unsound.</p>
NH/12-022 - Camping Close,	Bourn	64900 Object Amend boundary	<p>Saunderson & Co</p> <p>The Landowner strongly objects to the proposed designation on the basis that the boundary put forward by the Parish Council is inaccurate and if it is not removed it should be amended.</p> <p>In addition the scale of proposed site is unreasonable (contrary to Paragraph 77 of the NPPF)</p> <p>Also the land does not meet the criteria of Local Green Space designation detailed in the NPPG and the land is already protected by nature of it lying within the conservation area and flood plain.</p> <p>There has been no sound consultation process regarding this proposal which renders it unsound.</p>
NH/12-022 - Camping Close,	Bourn	64901 Object Amend boundary	<p>Saunderson & Co</p> <p>The Landowner strongly objects to the proposed designation on the basis that the boundary put forward by the Parish Council is inaccurate and if it is not removed it should be amended.</p> <p>In addition the scale of proposed site is unreasonable (contrary to Paragraph 77 of the NPPF)</p> <p>Also the land does not meet the criteria of Local Green Space designation detailed in the NPPG and the land is already protected by nature of it lying within the conservation</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			area and flood plain. There has been no sound consultation process regarding this proposal which renders it unsound.
NH/12-022 - Camping Close,	Bourn	64904 Object Amend boundary	Individual The proposed Local Green Space designation for land adjacent to Camping Close Bourn does not comply with the NPPF and supporting NPPG and should be removed. A failure to amend the plan and the proposals map on this basis will render the local plan unsound. The respondent is aware of the submission by the owners of Camping Close and fully supports their objections and proposed revised boundary for the Local Green Space designation.
NH/12-022 Camping Close,	Bourn	64910 Object Amend boundary	Saunderson & Co The landowner of Camping Close strongly objects to the proposed designation. The boundary put forward by the Parish Council is inaccurate and if the proposed designation is not removed, it should be amended. In addition the scale of the proposed site is unreasonable in proportion (contrary to Paragraph 77 of the NPPF). Also the land does not meet the specific criteria of LGS designation detailed in the NPPG and it is within a conservation area and flood plain, it is within the curtilage of 2 listed buildings and has 2 public rights of way crossing it protected by the Highways Act 1980. There has been no sound consultation process regarding this proposal which renders it unsound.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12 - 023 - Access to Camping Close,	Bourn	64977 Support	Individual The respondent supports the proposed local green space over their land. Land has been in ownership of Haggars family for generations. The respondent is the last surviving member of the family and desires that after she has gone the community will benefit from the land. Believe the land has particular local significance because of its beauty, tranquillity and recreational value.
NH/12-025 - Land north of Jeavons Lane north of Monkfield Way	Cambourne	64934 Object Do not designate – more appropriate as PVAA	MCA Developments Limited Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for following reasons: * Not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations; * Not 'effective' as applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance' ; * Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS not been undertaken and as such consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties; * Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.
NH/12-028 - Land East of Sterling Way,	Cambourne	64937 Object Not designate as LGS –	MCA Developments Limited The extent of the LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for the following reasons: * It is not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate a Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations;

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
		In order to be consistent with other village greens in Cambourne should be PVAA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * It is not 'effective' as it has been applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance'; * It is not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in the planning process to designate their land as LGS has not been undertaken and as such the consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties; * It is not 'consistent with national policy' as the proposed allocation does not meet the LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of the NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of the NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.
NH/12-029 - Land east of Sterling Way, north of Brace Dein,	Cambourne	64938 Object Do not designate as LGS To be consistent with other village greens in Cambourne should be designated as PVAA	<p>MCA Developments Limited</p> <p>The extent of the LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * It is not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate a Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations; * It is not 'effective' as it has been applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance'; * It is not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in the planning process to designate their land as LGS has not been undertaken and as such the consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties; * It is not 'consistent with national policy' as the proposed allocation does not meet the LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of the NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of the NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.
NH/12-030 - Land north of School Lane, west of	Cambourne	64939 Do not designate as	<p>MCA Developments Limited</p> <p>The extent of the LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for the following reasons:</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
Woodfield Lane		LGS More appropriate to designate as PVAA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * It is not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate a Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations; * It is not 'effective' as it has been applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance'; * It is not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in the planning process to designate their land as LGS has not been undertaken and as such the consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties; * It is not 'consistent with national policy' as the proposed allocation does not meet the LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of the NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of the NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.
NH/12-035 - Large areas within and around the edge of village,	Cambourne	64944 Object Should designate smaller sites as LGS rather than have one large area. Also some areas are more appropriate as PVAA.	<p>MCA Developments Limited</p> <p>The extent of the LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * It is not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate a Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations; * It is not 'effective' as it has been applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance'; * It is not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in the planning process to designate their land as LGS has not been undertaken and as such the consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties; * It is not 'consistent with national policy' as the proposed allocation does not meet the LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of the NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of the NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.
NH/12-036 - Honeysuckle	Cambourne	Support	MCA Developments Limited

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
Close and Hazel Lane green space			No objection to designation of this site which is a small private copse and is worthy of retention as a LGS
NH/12-038 - Land South of Barton Rd	Comberton	64905 Object Amend boundary	<p>Individual</p> <p>Respondent objects to inclusion of his land within this Local Green Space designation. Propose site boundary is amended as per attached map.</p> <p>Land in question is garden of 36 Barton Road, Comberton. In respondent's view does not meet criteria in that it holds no particular local significance in terms of its beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquillity or its wildlife. The land cannot be accessed or viewed by the local community from any public access point.</p> <p>Land is already protected as its within curtilage of No 36 Barton Road, a Grade II listed building and the conservation area.</p>
NH/12-038 - Land south of Barton Road	Comberton	64952 Object Amend boundary	<p>Individuals</p> <p>Object (to how the PVAA/LGS boundaries are currently proposed).</p> <p>1/ Please give max LGS protection to ALL the fields/meadows and orchards and open areas within the currently adopted PVAA for the wildlife, particularly barn owls, kestrels and badgers.</p> <p>2/ Please amend the south east corner of the proposed LGS as per plan 3 so that brown field bits are removed and some of the omitted green and wooded bits are included (if the LGS idea is to be used at all).</p> <p>3/ If one leaves the adopted PVAA as is, maybe this would in practice, provide more sound protection. But better to afford all the Comberton PVAA fields meadows and orchards and open areas - LGS status.</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted	
NH/12- 049 - Recreation Ground and Playing Fields	Cottenham	64933 Object Amend boundary	<p>Cambridgeshire County Council</p> <p>Objection relates to part of proposed designation area which is not considered to comply with tests set out in NPPF.</p> <p>Objection site forms an extension to recreation ground, is poorly drained, not well related to existing recreation ground and currently underused by community.</p> <p>CCC lease site to Parish Council. Lease requires that should area be used by CCC for other purposes an equivalent area in close proximity to recreation ground would be provided by CCC. Any residential scheme on adjacent land could accommodate this and provide a better overall solution. LGS designation may preclude such a consideration.</p> <p>Site is featureless open area of land that is not demonstrably special to the local community. Only value is for recreation and it is currently underused.</p>	
NH/12 – 050 Land in front of Village College	Cottenham	64981 Object Amend boundary	<p>Individual</p> <p>Respondent comment is that as far as he can tell the reference area referred to above which relates mainly to land adjoining Cottenham Village College appears possibly to include part of his front garden. There has been a hedge defining his garden boundary for at least 20 years so the Council's plans may pre date that. His front garden has a driveway and some lawn behind the hedge. As such he is not sure that it is relevant to the local green space designation.</p>	
NH/12-055 - Greenacres,	Duxford	64914 Object	<p>Individual</p> <p>Site does not meet any of 5 tests set out in NPPF for Local Green Space. Parish</p>	

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
		Do not designate as LGS	<p>Council claim land is special to local community - open nature and recreational value. Site is area of left over grassed highway verge adjacent to road in middle of housing estate - not beautiful by normal standard, no historic interest, not tranquil, not wildlife rich. Land only available for recreation with permission of landowner - now withdrawn. Land to be fenced off.</p> <p>Site better suited to meeting the main focus of the NPPF of providing increased housing numbers and sustainable development</p>
NH/12 - 056 End of Mangers Lane	Duxford	64973 Object Amend boundary	<p>Individual</p> <p>Inclusion of site as LGS not following robust assessment of site. Previously designated as PVAA - does not mean it meets criteria for LGS.</p> <p>Concerned that the landowners had received no notification of proposed designation.</p> <p>Site enclosed area, not accessible to public. Not visible from public domain therefore does not contribute to village character. No community role. No recreational value. No significant wildlife. Extensive tract of land - not suitable as LGS. Some parts may be suitable but not northern section owned by respondent - College Farm. This should not be LGS.</p> <p>Site within conservation area which will protect its character and appearance.</p>
NH/12 - 056 End of Mangers Lane,	Duxford	64975 Object Amend boundary	<p>Individual</p> <p>Site within conservation area - does not need further constraint. Comprises of 2 paddocks and 2 garden areas enclosed by fences. Accept paddocks have only limited development potential due to access constraints.</p> <p>Have been assured that LGS designation would not allow public right of access onto the</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			<p>land or use of compulsory purchase of site. On that understanding the landowner does not object to LGS on paddock areas but does strongly object to gardens being included. Both areas in full use as garden for adjoining houses. Delineation includes front portion of their house. Adjoining and neighbouring gardens not designated as LGS - expect to be treated likewise.</p> <p>Site not visible from public road or footpath - do not think site has been properly visited and assessed before designation.</p>
NH/12-057 - Allotments	Elsworth	64911 Object Not designate as LGS	<p>Davison & Sons (Great Barford) Ltd</p> <p>Designation is not justified and Council has been inconsistent in approach to assessing recreational open space and consequently LGS. Site privately owned and no mechanism to secure use for public.</p> <p>Relevant draft policy in Local Plan (NH/12) does not accord with the NPPF. Assessment of site's quality as allotments is not reflective of its circumstances. Alternative policy - SC/9 would provide adequate protection of allotment from development.</p> <p>LGS designation will not secure the allotments, indeed there is a distinct risk that their use for these purposes may be significantly curtailed altogether.</p>
NH/12-058 - Fardells Lane Nature Reserve	Elsworth	64881 Support	<p>Elsworth Parish Council</p> <p>Valuable village amenity accessible to all residents. Supports wide variety of plant and animal species in their natural habitat. Site provides a nearby educational asset for nature study for pupils at local Primary School.</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12-060 - Glebe Field,	Elsworth	64927 Object Not designate as LGS	Ely Diocesan Board of Finance Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF Site is valued for its mature trees which are already statutorily protected. The Council has not demonstrated that site is special and holds a particular local significance apart from trees which could be retained with a sensitive development proposal. Eight sites have been identified within the village amounting to an extensive overall reduction in potential for the bringing forward of sustainable development, contrary to NPPF. The Inspector is respectfully requested to exclude the designation from the Local Plan.
NH/12-062 - Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street	Elsworth	64896 Object Amend boundary	Individuals Owners of land believe that there is an opportunity to provide a large element of green space on the site whilst integrating it with a sustainable development of open market and affordable housing - More detailed information is available on the proposed scheme.
NH/12 - 063 Land at south end of Brook Street,	Elsworth	64974 Object Amend boundary	Individual No robust assessment of LGS against criteria in para 77 of NPPF. Concerned that no formal notification of proposed designation. Site does not meet criteria for LGS - no public access; vacant and unkempt site; not special to local community - not previously designated as PVAA; Valued as next to County Wildlife site - no significant wildlife on site. Limited evidence as to why LGS. Site within conservation area which will protect its appearance and character.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12 – 065 – Village Green	Eltisley	64971 Object Amend boundary	Eltisley Parish Council Support the designation of village green as a LGS but wish to point out that the designated area must match the original land as mapped in the inclosure award of 17/3/1864. Attached an extract from the original document but this does not show the full extent of the land. The original document is very large and can be viewed at Cambridgeshire archives, Shire Hall. Council are aware of an error in the land registered with land registry and are in the process of seeking amendment of their records
NH/12-068 - Paddock, Ditton Lane junction with High Ditch Road	Fen Ditton	64926 Object Not designate as LGS	RM Francis Will Trust Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF Site fails second test as it has not been shown to be demonstrably special and of particular local significance. Concept of bringing countryside into village to enhance rural character is not considered demonstrably special due to the existing scale of the village and its already strong rural character. Views of the site are limited. Views of properties and gardens are not considered special. Views out towards open countryside are restricted by mature trees and views are already protected by Importance Countryside Frontage designation. Designation will preclude any consideration of a sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development.
NH/12-070 - Recreation Ground	Foxton	64890 Support	Foxton Parish Council Valuable recreational amenity for village.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/070 - Recreation Ground,	Foxton	Object Amend boundary	<p>Cambridgeshire County Council</p> <p>Site should only be designated if it passes the tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF</p> <p>Objection to part of site being designated</p> <p>Site used as allotment land -this has not been demonstrated by District Council to be special to local community or to hold a particular local significance.</p> <p>Site forms part of an extensive tract of land of different character areas that have not been assessed for their individual contributions to local community.</p> <p>Site fails to comply with second and third tests set out in NPPF.</p> <p>Designation will risk harming future delivery of sustainable housing development on adjacent land contrary to aims of enabling sustainable development set out in NPPF.</p> <p>Inspector requested to amend designation to exclude objection site shown on attached plan CJ001.</p>
NH/12-073 - Green Area on Station Road,	Foxton	64950 Object Not designate as LGS	<p>Goreway Holdings Limited c/o Endurance Estates Limited</p> <p>This wide roadside verge does not hold any recreational value for community and would be unsafe to use. Does not provide tranquil oasis due to its proximity to Station Road. No evidence that significant wildlife is present.</p> <p>Statement from Parish Council does not demonstrate land is demonstrably special to local community and no evidence has been submitted.</p> <p>Council's assessment in 2012 concluded land did not meet criteria of Local Green</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			<p>Space. From Council's Submission documents designation is based on Parish Council's recommendations, which were limited and vague.</p> <p>To apply LGS designation to roadside verge undermines criteria of LGS. Applying designation to one roadside verge suggests it could be applied to many, which is not intention of Paragraph 77 of the NPPF. Proposed designation is unsound and should be removed.</p>
NH/12-074 - Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond	Fulbourn	<p>64958 Object</p> <p>Not designate as LGS</p>	<p>Castleford International Ltd</p> <p>The proposed designation is not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to sterilise a site which clearly has development potential.</p> <p>It is not 'effective' as it would be contrary to designate this site as a LGS given that SCDC do not currently have a 5 year land supply and development should be directed to the most sustainable settlements.</p> <p>It is not 'justified' as the consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties. We question why the site is now proposed as LGS when the site has never been incorporated within the Green Belt, or had any other special protection in the current Plan.</p> <p>It is not 'consistent with national policy' as it does not meet the LGS criteria of para 77 of the NPPF or accord with para 76 of the NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.</p>
NH/12-075 - Victorian Garden,	Fulbourn	<p>64907 Object</p> <p>Amend</p>	<p>Individuals</p> <p>Leg of Site NH/12-075 extending east across frontage of their private property is not part of adjacent Victorian Garden. Maps accompanying PVAA and LGS documents are</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
		boundary	<p>inconsistent, lacking definition as to extent of land to be designated. Potential designated area includes paved access roads and parking areas.</p> <p>Local community may not know that land now has no connection to the Victorian Garden and therefore the landowners request that it is deleted from designated area.</p> <p>Alternatively, the respondents request the designation be limited to a 10m deep strip north from the property's southern site boundary with Cow Lane extending eastwards from Victorian Garden only as far as the western side of existing property main access road.</p>
NH/12-075 - Victorian Garden,	Fulbourn	<p>64959 Object</p> <p>Not designate as LGS</p>	<p>Castleford International Ltd</p> <p>Proposed designation is not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to sterilise a site which clearly has development potential and is capable of coming forward during the SCDC five year period under assessment (2014-2019)</p> <p>It is not 'effective' as the site is already designated as Conservation Area and not afforded any other special protection in the Local Plan.</p> <p>It is not 'justified' as the consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties.</p> <p>It is not 'consistent with national policy' as it does not meet LGS criteria of para 77 or accord with para 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.</p>
NH/12-076 - Lupin Field,	Gamlingay	64951 Object	<p>Merton College</p> <p>* The land lies outside of the Village Framework.</p> <p>* It is not an area of beauty, comprising a scrub area and open grazing land that is</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			<p>indistinguishable from other areas around the village.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * There has been no identification of any of the College land being of ecological value * It is not of known historic significance or tranquillity. * Only part of the land serves any recreational use and this is only on a temporary arrangement. * It is not demonstrably special to the local community. <p>For the reasons above the proposed allocation is considered inappropriate, unnecessary and contrary to the NPPF, as such it is considered not sound. This is reflected in the fact that when initially requested to designate the area as a Local Green Space officers concluded the 'Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS' and in the absence of any change in circumstances this remains the case.</p>
NH/12-078 - Recreation Ground,	Guilden Morden	64917 Support	<p>Guilden Morden Parish Council</p> <p>Used as Public Open Space</p>
NH/12-079 - The Craft,	Guilden Morden	64928 Object Not to designate as LGS	<p>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance</p> <p>Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF</p> <p>Site is an extensive tract of open rough grassland of no particular character.</p> <p>It is not considered to have been demonstrated to be special to the local community and to hold a particular local significance.</p> <p>Site fails the second and third tests set out in the NPPF.</p> <p>Designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			<p>sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within NPPF.</p> <p>The Inspector is respectfully requested to exclude the designation from the Local Plan</p>
NH/12-080 - Church Meadow	Guilden Morden	<p>64929 Object</p> <p>Do not designate as LGS</p>	<p>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance</p> <p>Site is a largely enclosed featureless area of open rough grassland of no particular character. Significant areas of open land exist in vicinity that are more readily visible from built up area and already bring a rural character to village.</p> <p>It is not considered to have been demonstrated to be special to the local community and to hold a particular local significance.</p> <p>The site fails the second test set out in the NPPF.</p> <p>The designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme within the context of the setting of the church for sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within the NPPF</p>
NH/12 081 Land between Swan Lane and Pound Green	Guilden Morden	<p>64854 Object</p> <p>Do not designate as LGS</p>	<p>FW Pepper Ltd</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Field is agricultural 2. No amenity value. 3. No footpath on site. 4. Not visible from the road. 5. No historical significance. 6. No recreational value, no tranquillity (Agricultural), no richness of wildlife. 7. Not demonstrably special to local community.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12-082 - Pound Green,	Guilden Morden	64893 Support	Individual Site is of historic significance to village, being the area where animals were kept before being moved to a different location. It is also one of the few green spaces within the village, acting as a surrogate 'village green'. It is a useful amenity, recently acting as a display area in the recent successful 'Guilden Morden in the 1940's' staged by the Local History Group.
NH/12-083 - Thompsons Meadow,	Guilden Morden	64918 Support	Guilden Morden Parish Council Used as Public Open Space
NH12/084 - Play area adjacent to the Church	Hardwick	64912 Support	Hardwick Parish Council Currently used as a Public open space.
NH12/085 - Recreation Ground in Egremont Rd,	Hardwick	64913 Support	Hardwick Parish Council Currently used as a Public open space.
NH/12-087 - Wellhouse Meadow,	Haslingfield	64923 Object Amend boundary	Individuals Site boundary of Wellhouse Meadow is incorrect in including the respondents' private lands. These private lands are already doubly protected by listed building status and conservation area designation and to include their private lands in a LGS is contrary to the Council's document Draft Final Sustainability Appraisal (March 2014), Annex A - Audit Trail, Appendix 5, page A1391. The respondents did not know this designation was being made until November 2014

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			when their neighbours first received their notification. The respondents note it was March 2014 that SCDC was told to advise ALL landowners but it was not until November that they were advised. They have owned this land since 1974.
NH/12-087 - Wellhouse Meadow	Haslingfield	64924 Object Amend boundary	<p>Individuals</p> <p>Seems totally unnecessary to add another designation when conservation area and PVAA already apply to the respondents' land and seem to hold enough restrictions to development to satisfy the Council's aims.</p> <p>Please note the statements in Draft Final Sustainability Appraisal Annex A, Appendix 5, page A1390 shows ".... a second opportunity for consultation in 2013" and still no direct contact with the respondents. Unaware of earlier designation as PVAA.</p> <p>Also on page A1391, "There are policies that give existing protection to green space within the Local Plan and it is not the intention of the council to double protect such sites by identifying them as LGS."</p>
NH/12-087 - Wellhouse Meadow,	Haslingfield	64930 Object Amend boundary	<p>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance</p> <p>The objection relates to part of site only, to south of Broad Lane, and shown on attached plan.</p> <p>Objection site is residential land sitting behind 2m high wall. Not open in character and is distinct from orchard and meadowland.</p> <p>Site is set in area of some historic significance, but not demonstrably special to local community and more historically significant sites lie adjacent that are not included.</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			<p>Objection site forms part of tract of land of different character areas that have not been assessed for their individual contributions to local community.</p> <p>Site fails to comply with second and third tests set out in NPPF.</p> <p>Designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development.</p>
NH/12 - Site 089 East of New Road,	Impington	64978 Support	<p>Histon & Impington Parish Council</p> <p>Support subject to designation will not stop Parish Council carrying out any work on improvements e.g. electricity / water supply. Part of land is in the ownership of Histon Football Club holdings.</p>
NH/12-094 - Village Orchard	Kingston	64888 Object Amend boundary	<p>Kingston Parish Council</p> <p>Boundary amendment of site NH/12-094 requested to exclude a private house and garden which was included in error in the Parish Council's original submission.</p>
NH/12-094 - Village Orchard	Kingston	64895 Object Amend boundary	<p>Individuals</p> <p>Error made by Parish Council when Northern boundary of 'Village Orchard' was defined and the respondent's property which lies immediately to north of the site has never been part of the 'Village Orchard'.</p> <p>Map enclosed indicating in red the actual northern boundary of 'Village Orchard' and southern boundary of the respondents property.</p> <p>The respondents fully support the proposal for Local Green Space provided boundary is redrawn according to actual boundary of 'Village Orchard' as they have outlined.</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12-099 Village Green	Litlington	64857 Support	Litlington Parish Council Important open space in the village.
NH/12-100 St Peter's Hill	Litlington	64856 Support	Litlington Parish Council Important open space in the village.
NH/12-101 Recreation Ground	Litlington	64858 Support	Litlington Parish Council Important open space in the village.
NH/12-102 Scout camp site, Church Lane	Little Abington	64902 Object Amend boundary	Abington Woods CIC New owner of site is Abington Woods CIC not Cambridge International School. The site has an existing planning permission (S/0893/11) which is valid for 3 years from 3 August 2012. The documents relating to the planning permission make it clear that only 2/3rds of the site lies within a conservation area. Given the existence of the planning permission it seems sensible for the Local Green Space only to cover that part of the site that is already in the conservation area.
NH/12-104 - Meadows, Bancroft Farm,	Little Abington	64925 Object Not designate as LGS	Individual It has clearly not been demonstrated that the proposed designation meets all of the criteria set out in the NPPF for assessing LGS designations. It should also be noted that nowhere does national policy suggest that a failure to meet policy requirements should be balanced against other considerations when designating Local Green Space. The allocation of this area as LGS would almost certainly prevent part of the site coming forward as a sustainable residential development opportunity within the defined settlement boundary for the village.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			Council have not demonstrated that proposed designation meets detailed requirements of national policy, and therefore is inappropriate and unjustified.
NH/12 - 105 - Camping Close, Camping Field	Great Shelford	64892 Support	Individual The landowner supports this decision to include her property in the proposed 'Local Green Space' on the understanding that her house will remain as it is and that she retains full control over what she can plant in her garden. A recent valuation of her property quoted on the value for the property as it is and substantially higher value as a building site. This will however affect the value to her heirs.
NH/12-106 Recreation ground	Little Wilbraham	64876 Support	Little Wilbraham and Six Mile Bottom Parish Council Part of site was converted to allotments 4 years ago by Parish Council and they are all let to local residents. The project has been a great success. Site should remain as allotments for use by the local residents.
NH/12-112 - Recreational Green, Russet Way	Melbourn	64884 Support	Individual
NH/12-112 Recreational Green, Russet Way	Melbourn	64875 Object - suggest change of use.	Individual Object to any developments being built on NH/12-112 but would support designated parking lots for each house that owns part of the Local Green Space.
NH/12-112 - Recreational Green, Russet Way	Melbourn	64897 Support	Individual

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12-112 - Recreational Green, Russet Way	Melbourn	64949 Support	Individual Although the plot in question is very small in area the respondent fully endorses the decision to designate it as a local green space and is pleased to note that other larger areas of green space in the village have been included in the plan. The respondent should like to see further areas in the village included under this designation.
NH/12 - 115 - Stockbridge Meadows	Melbourn	64873 Object Do not designate as LGS	Individual Document outlines boundaries of 100 High Street, Melbourn and demonstrates that triangular parcel of land to rear of property is not part of Stockbridge Meadows
NH/12-118 - Recreational Green, Elm Way,	Melbourn	64947 Support	Individual Support
NH/12-128 - Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church,	Orwell	64931 Object Not to designate as LGS	Ely Diocesan Board of Finance Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF Site is an area of open rough grassland of no particular character, crossed by a public footpath. It has not been demonstrated that the site is special and of particular local significance to the community. The site therefore fails the second of three tests. The site fails the third test as it is an extensive tract of land. The designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within the NPPF.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12 - 130 - Station Road/Turn Lane,	Over	64870 Object Do not designate as LGS	Individual Site privately owned. Does not hold any particular significance to local community. No public access to site has ever existed. Previous PVAA designation was removed in 2009 by an inspector who stated land does not contribute to amenity and character of village. Site has not changed since that time. Parish Council's proposal to make this a 'Local Green Space' is flawed - site does not meet any of the criteria laid out in the NPPF. Not in Conservation Area. Sensitively planned development would enhance this part of village - no change to character.
NH/12 - 130 - Station Road/Turn Lane,	Over	64871 Object Do not designate as LGS	Individual Site does not meet any of criteria laid out in the NPPF. Over PC never agreed or debated the local plan submission. Over PC have since approved a planning application on the site. Site does not and has never served the community. No richness of wildlife. More suitable 'Green Spaces' exist within the village, (e.g. Village Green and Community Centre playing fields and skate park High demand for affordable housing in village
NH/12 - 130 Station Road/Turn Lane,	Over	64872 Object Do not designate as LGS	Individual Joint owner of land. Area not special to community. Question method used by Parish Council in their submission as to why area is special as it is in private ownership with no access and is

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			covered in shrub. PVAA was lifted from it in 2009. Inspector stated land does not contribute to amenity or character of village. Site is not an 'Area of Local Significance' as it does not meet any of the criteria for local green space.
NH/12-130 - Station Road, Turn Lane,	Over	64948 Object Do not designate as LGS	Individual As joint owner of this site, strongly object to proposal by Over PC to include it as 'Local Green Space'. Site is privately owned and has NEVER had any particular significance to the village as there HAS NEVER BEEN any public access. Previous PVAA was placed in error in 1992 and removed in 2009. Site is within village framework, is bounded on all sides by high hedges, covered in scrub and brambles affording no amenity to village. Not in conservation area, so a tasteful development would enhance site and improve this area while adding to village amenities without changing it's character. Parish Council's proposal for site to be classed as LGS DOES NOT MEET the criteria as laid out by NPPF.
NH/12 - 131 Land to the rear of The Lanes,	Over	64972 Object Not to designate as LGS	Individuals Concern that representation submitted in earlier consultation in 2013 - Rep 57527 was not included in the evidence paper submitted to government in March 2014. Site does not demonstrably meet criteria under NPPF para 77 - limited views of land for public and no public access; no visual impact on listed buildings; no historic significance; no recreational value; no more tranquil than other sites in village; no significant wildlife. Site should have existing PVAA designation removed too

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12 – 132 Wood behind Pendragon Hill,	Papworth Everard	64954 Object Not designate as LGS	The Papworth Trust This is land within the Framework, which has 'run wild' over time. There is no evidence of either local support or the 'richness' of wildlife value which the NPPF advice requires. As the Council's own studies established, the tests for LGS status are not met.
NH/12-134 - Baron's Way Wood,	Papworth Everard	64953 Object Not designate as LGS	The Papworth Trust This is land within the Framework, which has 'run wild' over time. There is no evidence of either local support or the 'richness' of wildlife value which the NPPF advice requires.
NH/12-135 - Rectory Woods	Papworth Everard	64887 Support	Individuals The respondents' property is adjacent to NH/12-135. They do not own any part of it as mentioned in the Council's letter. Would love green space to stay as it is. Supports an abundance of wildlife, including deer, fox, hedgehogs, squirrels and all sorts of birds and butterflies
NH/12- 137 - Summer's Hill Open Space,	Papworth Everard	64957 Object Not designate as LGS	The Varrier Jones Foundation Whilst this is land the subject of a Section 106 Obligation (in relation to the development of the residential estate to its east), the Council's own studies confirm that its extent is such as not to qualify for LGS status drawing on the NPPF guidance.
NH/12-138 - Papworth Hall,	Papworth Everard	64903 Object Amend boundary	Individuals No objection to Local Green Space Would like hatched red area to be separated from Papworth Hall NH/12-138 as now private land LGS would not stop minor planning applications to property in hatched red area.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12-138 Papworth Hall,	Papworth Everard	64955 Object Amend boundary. Not designate that part of land in respondent's ownership.	The Varrier Jones Foundation This designation takes in (at least) two ownerships. So far as those parts owned by the objector are concerned, none meet the criteria for 'particular significance' cited in the NPPF and the Council's own studies. Thus the tests for LGS status are not met.
NH/12-139 - Village Playing Field,	Papworth Everard	64956 Object Amend boundary	The Varrier Jones Foundation Self-evidently, the majority of the site has a local recreational function. The same is not, however, true of the woodland strip along its northern edge. There is no evidence that this is the home for the 'richness of wildlife' which the NPPF expects. Delete this strip.
NH/12-141 - The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace,	Sawston	64906 Object Do not designate as LGS	Sawston Church Institute The "Spike Field" is in fact private land that was bequeathed over 100 years ago to Sawston Church/Institute. The respondent is secretary of SCI and also a trustee of the Towgood Charities. They are responsible for the field, hence it is partially fenced off, apart from a few residents cars near the entrance. This is not a recreational area for the public. From time to time they also have to fund the pruning of trees, hedges etc, from overgrowth. It is not our intention to permit the public access to this private area.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12-141 - The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace	Sawston	64915 Object Not designate as LGS	The Towgoods' Charities of St Mary the Virgin Sawston 1. Designated land is Charity Land in Trust. 2. Trustees are bound to ensure this area of land is used as set out in the Indenture dated 1903. 3. Trustees have insufficient income to insure themselves for local community access. 4. As the Trustees cannot accept any public liability they will have to fence it off if necessary.
NH/12-142 Mill Lane Recreation Ground	Sawston	64877 Support	Sawston Parish Council Fully support inclusion of the local green space
NH/12 - 143 Millenium Copse	Sawston	64878 Support	Sawston Parish Council Fully support inclusion of the local green space
NH/12 - 143 Millenium Copse	Sawston	64882 Object Amend boundary	John Huntingdon Charity Part of this site is owned by the charity, but has a nursery built on it back in 2000, so cannot be allocated as a green space. Other part of the site is owned by Sawston Parish Council as marked on the attached plans. The respondent has also attached the Land Registry document for further clarification.
NH/12-144 - Butlers Green,	Sawston	64946 Object Not designate as LGS	Individual The respondent objects to this nomination. This site should not be designated as a LGS as there are: 1- No evidences exist that the John Falkner School playing field has been a special site of historic importance or a specifically cherished site.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			<p>2- There are no evidences of shortage of green in this area.</p> <p>3- The Council did not find any evidence to convince them this land should be designated a public green.</p> <p>4- The site was sold by the Council for development only three years ago.</p> <p>5- Any such a designation would harm the potential for a sympathetic and much needed investment in and development of the site.</p>
NH/12 - 145 Spicers Sports field.	Sawston	64879 Support	<p>Sawston Parish Council</p> <p>Fully support inclusion of the local green space</p>
NH/12-147 - Orchard Park	Sawston	64883 Support	<p>John Huntingdon Charity</p> <p>Decision to support this was taken at a trustees meeting on 17/11/14 Results of vote for allocating it as a local green space was 7 for and 1 against.</p>
NH/12 - 148 Deal Grove	Sawston	64880 Support	<p>Sawston Parish Council</p> <p>Fully support inclusion of the local green space</p>
NH/12-149 - Ransom Strip, Craft Way,	Steeple Morden	<p>64921 Object</p> <p>Amend boundary. Do not designate as LGS part of site owned by respondent</p>	<p>Individual</p> <p>As privately owned land designating site as LGS will provide no benefit to the public as they will have no legal access to it.</p> <p>Village badly in need of affordable housing and as this site is already adjacent to other local housing in Craft Way an ideal opportunity exists to extend services and amenities to this site.</p> <p>If left undeveloped the site will be at risk of being used by fly tippers and other unauthorised access.</p> <p>The designation of this site as local green space will not meet the objectives of the NPPF as the land is privately owned thereby barring the local community from</p>

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			access to it.
NH/12 - 150 Recreation Ground, Hay Street	Steeple Morden	64860 Support	Steeple Morden Parish Council
NH/12 - 151 - The Cowslip Meadow	Steeple Morden	64861 Support	Steeple Morden Parish Council
NH/12-157 The Spinney	Thriplow	64855 Object Do not designate as LGS	Individual Idea for green space site comes from one man who wished to cease mowing and tidying up his border to this track and wants to stop land being an entry and exit to Pegs Close. It is not used by members of the public for any reason whatsoever and if made a green space will be forced to remain so thereby shutting off the access to Pegs Close.
NH/12-158 - Open Land, Church Street	Thriplow	64886 Object Amend boundary	Individuals We own 3.5 strip on southern edge of site which is only access to the land we own to the south east of the site. It is a vehicular access track which is regularly used, so the Local Green Space Site should have it's boundary amended to exclude that strip.
NH/12-161 - Toft Recreation Ground	Toft	64908 Object Amend boundary	Toft Parish Council The proposed local green space NH/12-161 marked in green on the map is the Recreation Ground, and its inclusion as a Local Green Space in the Local Plan is correct. The Community Land, however, is not shown on the map, and this should also be included in the Local Plan as a Local Green Space. The area involved is marked in red on the map.

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
NH/12-163 - Allotments	Toft	64909 Support	Toft Parish Council
NH/12- 167 Barracks Frontage,	Waterbeach	64970 Object Not designate as LGS	Defence Infrastructure Organisation Object to the proposed designation NH/12 - 167. There are positive opportunities to achieve sustainable pedestrian, cycling and public transport links between the proposed Waterbeach New Town, Waterbeach and Cambridge. This may require some highway re-alignment at the Barracks frontage. A master planning process has begun and will fully incorporate the objectives of achieving high quality and attractive green spaces in this location. In this context the proposed designation could undermine sustainable development objectives of the Submission Draft Local Plan, including Policy SS/5, and does not meet the criteria of the NPPF. Proposed change: Delete designation NH/12 - 167
NH/12-170 - Recreation Ground/play area	Whaddon	64885 Support	Whaddon Parish Council Play area and recreation ground are very important to Whaddon village for both social and recreational purposes. Only publically owned green space in village, has a footpath running through it, is well used by residents and wider local community. Various social events are held on the recreation ground. Also has active cricket club. Play area is extremely popular with residents and also families from local area. Parish Council would like to see this important area protected for its residents so that they can continue to benefit from it.
NH/12-172 - The Lawn	Whittlesford	64891 Support	Whittlesford Parish Council Currently only recreational open space in village and accommodates numerous football teams from 5-year olds to adult. There is a full sized cricket pitch plus practice nets

Site Reference and address	Village	Rep ID Object/ Support/ Change to plan	Respondent and summary of comments submitted
			<p>supporting an active cricket club and two hard-surface tennis courts. Local Primary school, adjacent , also use the facility for many activities. There is also an enclosed play area, sports wall and 'trim trail'. All these activities are supported by a well equipped pavilion. The Lawn Trust Management Committee run a recreation programme in a professional manner that the Parish Council helps with financially.</p>